

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/627,682	ROOHPARVAR, FRANK F.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	B. James Peikari	2189
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeals all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85; NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R	is (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a or other appropriate communication (IGHTS. This application is subject	application. If not included on will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. A This communication is responsive to the amendment prop	osed on April 13, 2005.	
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 2, 27-38, now renumbered 1-1	3, respectively.	
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on 30 April 2004 are accepted by the E	Examiner.	
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority uner a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 1. International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submained in the	e been received. e been received in Application No. comments have been received in thi of this communication to file a report of this application. Initial Note the attached EXAMINE res reason(s) why the oath or declarst be submitted. Is be submitted. Is a Same of the American Same of the Market	Is national stage application from the lay complying with the requirements ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF tration is deficient. O-948) attached Office action of the back) of 1(d). must be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 4/30/04 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Summa Paper No./Mail D 08), 7. ☑ Examiner's Amen	Date

Art Unit: 2189

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Andrew Walseth (#43,234) on April 12, 2004 and by facsimile on April 13, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

- Please enter the attached amendments.
- 2. With regard to the question of compliance of the view numbers with 37 CFR 1.84(u)(1), the formal objections are hereby withdrawn. The question will be resolved via the draftsperson's review prior to publication of any patent issuing from this application.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In response to the appeal brief, the examiner re-examined the question of whether the cited Flash EPROM of Bacon et al., U.S. 5,440,632, was synchronous or asynchronous. The examiner determined that the Flash EPROM was more likely to have been synchronous than asynchronous since:

(a) there was no clock signal input into the Flash EPROM itself;

Application/Control Number: 09/627,682

Art Unit: 2189

- (b) the Flash EPROM required a "write enable" pin;
- (c) AMDTM manufactured asynchronous Flash EPROMs, which could have been used in the Bacon et al. system.

The examiner contacted applicant's representative because, although this conclusion would have necessitated the withdrawal of all rejections based on Bacon et al., claim 1 could still have been rejected by other systems known in the prior art (note, e.g., the first three references shown on the attached form PTO 892). Consequently applicant filed the attached amendment, which the examiner has approved and included herewith.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (571) 272-4185. The examiner is generally available between 7:00 am and 7:30 pm, EST, Monday through Wednesday, and between 5:30 am and 4:00 pm on Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-4182.

Application/Control Number: 09/627,682

Art Unit: 2189

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2100 central hotline at (571) 272-2100.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (Official communications)

or:

(703) 746-7240 (for Informal or Draft communications)

or:

(703) 746-7238 (for After-Final communications)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186

4/16/05